

Message

From: rogers, rick [rogers.rick@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/24/2018 5:24:21 PM
To: Ferrell, Mark [Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov]
CC: Duchovnay, Andrew [Duchovnay.Andrew@epa.gov]; Sternberg, David [Sternberg.David@epa.gov]; Reinhart, Roger [Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov]; schaffer, joan [schaffer.joan@epa.gov]; damm, thomas [Damm.Thomas@epa.gov]; Bennett, James [bennett.james@epa.gov]; Lazos, Pamela [Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov]; Day, Christopher [Day.Christopher@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Chemours sampling data available online

Roger confirmed that all the results from samples collected in WV were sent to Yogesh Patel at WVDEP. Chemours sent us data as they were sharing results with the homeowners and water systems. Yogesh was copied on those emails. He was also copied when Chemours sent us the consolidated data. I can dig up one of the emails and forward to Scott.

Rick Rogers, Associate Director
Office of Drinking Water and Source Water Protection
Water Protection Division (3WP20)
U.S. EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
rogers.rick@epa.gov
Office: 215.814.5711
Cell: 215.341.4762

From: Ferrell, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 11:58 AM
To: rogers, rick <rogers.rick@epa.gov>
Cc: Duchovnay, Andrew <Duchovnay.Andrew@epa.gov>; Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>; Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; schaffer, joan <schaffer.joan@epa.gov>; damm, thomas <Damm.Thomas@epa.gov>; Bennett, James <bennett.james@epa.gov>; Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>; Day, Christopher <Day.Christopher@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Chemours sampling data available online

I wondered about that / thought it odd DEP didn't already have it. Thanks. If it was emailed to Yogesh, perhaps that email could be forwarded to Scott M so he'll know we didn't skip them?

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 24, 2018, at 11:54 AM, rogers, rick <rogers.rick@epa.gov> wrote:

It just came back to me that I am pretty sure that Chemours copied WVDEP on all the sampling results. We can go back and check but this might be a moot point.

Mark, Scott Mandirola's group probably received them. I think they were sent to his permit manager, Yogesh Patel.

Roger, can you confirm?

Rick Rogers, Associate Director
Office of Drinking Water and Source Water Protection

Water Protection Division (3WP20)
U.S. EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
rogers.rick@epa.gov
Office: 215.814.5711
Cell: 215.341.4762

From: Duchovnay, Andrew
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 11:47 AM
To: rogers, rick <rogers.rick@epa.gov>; Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>
Cc: Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; schaffer, joan <schaffer.joan@epa.gov>; damm, thomas <Damm.Thomas@epa.gov>; Ferrell, Mark <Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov>; Bennett, James <bennett.james@epa.gov>; Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>; Day, Christopher <Day.Christopher@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Chemours sampling data available online

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Let me know if you have any further questions.
During my absence please contact Chris Day.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thanks,
Andy

From: rogers, rick
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 11:27 AM
To: Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>
Cc: Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; schaffer, joan <schaffer.joan@epa.gov>; damm, thomas <Damm.Thomas@epa.gov>; Ferrell, Mark <Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov>; Duchovnay, Andrew <Duchovnay.Andrew@epa.gov>; Bennett, James <bennett.james@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Chemours sampling data available online

David,

Here are some answers to the questions:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Rick

From: Sternberg, David

Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 10:56 AM

To: rogers, rick <rogers.rick@epa.gov>

Cc: Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; schafer, joan <schafer.joan@epa.gov>; damm, thomas <Damm.Thomas@epa.gov>; Ferrell, Mark <Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Chemours sampling data available online

Rick,

Let me know your thoughts. Thanks,

David

From: Kate Mishkin [<mailto:kate.mishkin@wvgazettemail.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 10:50 AM

To: Seneca, Roy <Seneca.Roy@epa.gov>; Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Chemours sampling data available online

Hi Roy,

Thanks for your email yesterday. I had a few questions I wanted to run by you:

Is 0.010 ppb your detection limit? Did the lab detect levels of Gen X that the EPA isn't able to quantify?

Can I obtain a copy of those lab reports?

Also, I know the EPA is hosting a summit in late May in Washington D.C. and then traveling to states with communities impacted by PFAs. Do you know if the EPA will be visiting Parkersburg, West Virginia?

Thanks for your help.

Best,
Kate Mishkin

On Mon, Apr 23, 2018 at 2:10 PM, Seneca, Roy <Seneca.Roy@epa.gov> wrote:

Kate --- I work with David Sternberg in the EPA Region 3 press office. David is out today. You had been in contact with him about Chemours sampling for GenX.

The sampling results were posted today on the EPA website at:
<https://www.epa.gov/pfas/chemours-company-well-sampling-results>

Here is the **EPA statement** on this issue:

Protecting public health is EPA's highest priority. In January, to ensure the safety of drinking water, EPA requested the Chemours Company to sample for the perfluorinated compounds known as GenX in public and private water supply wells near its Washington Works, West Virginia facility. Chemours completed the requested sampling in March and the data is posted online at the following link: : <https://www.epa.gov/pfas/chemours-company-well-sampling-results> **At this time, no GenX was found in treated drinking water that came from contaminated wells.** The agency is continuing to work with the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection and the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency on this issue.

Additional Background Information on the Sampling:

Chemours sampled 14 wells for GenX. Of those wells sampled, all were equipped with granular activated carbon (GAC) treatment due to prior PFOA contamination. Before treatment, GenX was detected in the untreated water from 9 of 14 wells sampled at levels ranging from 16 ppt to 81 ppt. The highest concentration was detected in the Chemours plant drinking water well. Once the water went through the GAC treatment, GenX was not found at detectable levels. At the time of sampling, the GAC appeared to be effective on GenX.

--

Kate Mishkin
Reporter, Charleston Gazette-Mail
304-348-4843